LETTERS 2001 Vol. 3, No. 11 1773–1775

ORGANIC

Kinetic Resolution and Double Stereodifferentiation in Catalytic Asymmetric C–H Activation of 2-Substituted Pyrrolidines

Huw M. L. Davies* and Chandrasekar Venkataramani

Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260-3000

hdavies@acsu.buffalo.edu

Received April 11, 2001

ABSTRACT



Dirhodium tetrakis(*S*-(*N*-dodecylbenzenesulfonyl)prolinate) (Rh₂(*S*-DOSP)₄) catalyzed decomposition of methyl aryldiazoacetates in the presence of 2-substituted pyrrolidines results in highly diastereoselective and enantioselective C–H insertions. These reactions can proceed with impressive levels of double stereodifferentiation and kinetic resolution, which allows for three stereocenters to be controlled during the C–H insertion step.

In recent years there has been considerable interest in the development of new methods for catalytic C–H activation.¹ One particularly attractive method is the C–H insertion by metal carbenoid intermediates.² The intramolecular version of this reaction is well established and has been elegantly used in a number of total syntheses.² Recently, the rhodium carbenoids derived from aryldiazoacetates have been demonstrated to be exceptional at intermolecular C–H insertion.^{3,4} A notable example is the $Rh_2(S$ -DOSP)₄ catalyzed reaction of *N*-BOC pyrrolidine which leads to a highly regio- and stereoselective C–H activation (Scheme 1).^{3d} This reaction can be considered as a surrogate for an asymmetric Mannich reaction.⁵

In this Letter we describe that impressive double stereodifferentiation and kinetic resolution can occur during the catalytic asymmetric C–H insertion step (Scheme 2).⁶ This allows for three or even four stereocenters to be controlled during the C–H insertion. These studies highlight the remarkable stereoselectivity that is possible in the reactions of aryldiazoacetates and offer considerable promise for their utilization in organic synthesis.



^{(1) (}a) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2879. (b) Arndtsen, B. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Mobley, T. A.; Peterson, T. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1995**, *28*, 154. (c) Waltz, K. M.; Hartwig, J. F. *Science* **1997**, 277, 211.

^{(2) (}a) Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. In *Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1998; pp 133–162. (b) Lim, H.-J.; Sulikowski, G. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2326.



To evaluate the effect of double stereodifferentiation on the C-H activation, the reaction of both D and L proline methyl ester (1) with methyl *p*-bromophenyldiazoacetate (2) was examined. $Rh_2(S$ -DOSP)₄-catalyzed decomposition of 2 (2 equiv) in the presence of D-1a in 2,2-dimethylbutane as solvent at 50 °C resulted in a very clean transformation (Scheme 3). After removal of the BOC group with TFA,



the C–H insertion product **3** was formed as a single diastereomer in 68% yield. The relative configuration of **3** was determined from NOE studies and distinctive chemical shifts for the C-4 methylene proton,⁷ and this was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

In contrast to the above result, the $Rh_2(S\text{-}DOSP)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of **2** with L-**1a** generated a mixture of C–H insertion products (Scheme 4). A mixture of *ent*-**3** (31% yield) and the second diastereomer **4** (14% yield) is formed. The structure of **4** was determined on the basis of distinctive ¹H NMR chemical shifts and NOE studies. It is well-established

(4) For a general review, see: Davies, H. M. L.; Antoulinakis, E. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 617-618, 45.

(5) For a general review, see: Denmark, S. E.; Nicaise, O. J.-C. In *Comprhensive Asymmetric Catalysis*, Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1999; pp 954–958.

(6) Enantiomer differentitaion and double stereodifferentiation by use of chiral catalysts have been reported for intramolecular cyclopropanation and C-H insertion. (a) Doyle, M. P.; Dyatkin, A. B.; Kalinin, A. V.; Ruppar, D. A.; Martin, S. F.; Spaller, M. R.; Liras, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 11021. (b) Martin, S. F.; Spaller, M. R.; Liras, S.; Hartmann, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 4493. (c) Doyle, M. P.; Kalinin, A. V.; Ene, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 8837.



that the Rh₂(*S*-DOSP)₄-catalyzed C-H insertions on *N*-BOCpyrrolidine generate the (*S*) configuration at the stereogenic center formed at the original carbenoid site.^{3d} Thus, the reaction with D-**1a** is the matched reaction, while the reaction with L-**1a** is the mismatched reaction.

A predictive model has been developed to determine the expected relative and absolute stereochemistry of these C-H insertions (Figure 1). The C-H insertion is considered to



Figure 1. Predictive stereochemical models.

be a concerted and nonsynchronous process, occurring over the position of the ester group.^{3,4} Although the actual orientation of the substrates is not known, the arrangement shown here, in which the alkane approaches from the front with the large group (L) pointing up and away from the catalyst, the medium group (M) pointing forward of the catalyst, and the small group (S) points backward toward the catalyst, has been successful in predicting the relative stereochemistry of these reactions (eq 1). The extension of this model to the matched reaction of 2-substituted pyrrolidines is shown in eq 2. The reaction with the D-pyrrolidine derivatives is correctly predicted to be the matched reaction and leads to the observed stereochemistry. In the mismatched case, the pyrrolidine substituent would interfere with the site of C-H insertion. This leads to low-yielding reactions and unexpected stereochemistry as was seen with L-1a, which generated ent-3.

The matched reaction with a series of 2-substituted pyrrolidines was then examined as summarized in Table 1.

^{(3) (}a) Davies, H. M. L.; Hansen, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9075.
(b) Davies, H. M. L.; Stafford, D. G.; Hansen, T. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 233.
(c) Davies, H. M. L.; Antoulinakis, E. G.; Hansen, T. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 383.
(d) Davies, H. M. L.; Hansen, T.; Hopper, D.; Panaro, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6509. (e) Axten, J. M.; Ivy, R.; Krim, L.; Winkler, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6511. (f) Davies, H. M. L.; Stafford, D. G.; Hansen, T.; Churchill, M. R.; Keil, K. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2035. (g) Muller, P.; Tohill, S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 1725. (h) Davies, H. M. L.; Hansen, T.; Churchill, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3063. (i) Davies, H. M. L.; Ren, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2071.

⁽⁷⁾ Davies, H. M. L.; Ren, P. Tetrahedron Lett., in press.

 Table 1. Matched C-H Insertions of 2-Substituted

 Pyrrolidines



In each case a highly diastereoselective C–H insertion was obtained in yields ranging from 83 to 85%. In contrast, the mismatched reactions proceeded in poor yield. In the case of the silyl ether L-1d, no C–H insertion product was obtained, while with the *tert*-butyl proline L-1b, a mixture of diastereomers was obtained in 22% overall yield. The reaction with the acetyl derivative L-1c was most interesting because in this case the major diastereomer was the cissubstituted pyrrolidine 6 (Scheme 5).



Having determined that the reaction with the 2-substituted pyrrolidines displayed considerable double stereodifferentiation, we then explored the possibility of kinetic resolution in these reactions (Table 2). As the most useful aspect of this work would be the asymmetric synthesis of the products with three stereogenic centers rather than isolation of enriched starting material, these reactions were carried out with the (\pm) -2-substituted pyrrolidines in excess (2–4 equiv). In all instances, the C-H insertion products were produced with moderate to high enantioselectivity (77-98% ee). The high enantioselectivity in the formation of the C-H insertion products 5 is due to a combination of the inherent kinetic resolution and the enantiomer product differentiation by the catalyst. Reaction of 2 with the silvl derivative 1d is most impressive as the C-H insertion product 5d is formed in 85% yield, 98% ee, and >94% de.

A final example of the kinetic resolution that is possible is seen in the reaction with (\pm) -7 (Scheme 6). Rh₂(S-DOSP)₄-

Table 2. Kinetic Resolutions in C-H Insertions of2-Substituted Pyrrolidines



catalyzed decomposition of methyl phenyldiazoacetate (8) in the presence of (\pm) -7 resulted in the formation of the C-H insertion product 9^{3d} in 91% ee.



In summary, these results show that impressive levels of kinetic resolution and double stereodifferentiation can occur in $Rh_2(S\text{-}DOSP)_4$ -catalyzed intermolecular C–H insertions. In the matched case, highly diastereoselective reactions were obtained by generating highly functionalized products containing up to four stereogenic centers. These studies further underscore the highly chemoselective nature of rhodium carbenoids derived from aryldiazoacetates.

Acknowledgment. Financial support of this work by the National Science Foundation (CHE 0092490) and the National Institutes of Health (GM57425) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. Tore Hansen for some preliminary studies in this area and Mr. Yuegang Zhang for the X-ray structure determination.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental data of all new compounds and X-ray crystallographic data for **3**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL015974Q